2 January 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management

FROM:

Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Draft FY 1982 NFIP Guidance (U)

REFERENCE:

Your memo, undated, Same Subject

[MED 0196-79(II)]

1. I have finally looked at the draft FY 1982 NFIP guidance. I'm afraid I find it boring reading! Clearly I don't relate to all of the detailed specifications that have to be into it about Form 4E and 4F, etc., though I really wonder if they shouldn't be in an appendix, even an appendix that applies to all recipients. In short, it is very difficult to get any thrust of what we want out of this document. I certainly wouldn't read it if I were a Program Manager.

2. Beyond that, I think we often give guidance in platitudinous terms. It is nice to be cost effective or fiscally prudent or balanced, but for heaven's sake we all expect to be in those categories at all times and there is probably no way we can judge anybody really objectively in those categories.

3. My thoughts on where we want to go in the 1982 budget are:

25X

25X

b. We should make much more meaningful the-directive of the HPSCI to scrutinize our interface with IRA. More importantly, we should do that because it will force careful consideration of how our national systems can better support tactical commanders. That is, by looking at the overlaps between IRA systems and national systems we should try to determine if there are ways in which the national systems can do more for the tactical commanders.

		•			
c. We mus and production for doing that	against the		ys to enhance c and a set of pr		
4. These are which I think are m but I haven't given	ost related to	the budget,			
5. Overall, I more time on it. I guidance and comptr	t may be that	the document	: just can't be		ıch
		***			è
		h.	STKNSFIELD TU	RNER	, A